

MPLS Deployment – Best practices

Yogesh Jiandani, Consulting Engineer, India and SAARC

Vagish Dwivedi, Consulting Systems Engineer

- This session is for people who already have an understanding of how MPLS, MPLS L3 VPNs and MPLS TE work
- Cellphones off or in vibrate mode. If important, calls to be taken strictly outside the classroom
- No Internet/Email/Chat etc access while in the class. In short, please shut off your laptops.
- PLEASE ASK QUESTIONS. THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A STUPID OR DUMB QUESTION.

Session objectives

- Main new developments
- Set-up services
- Best practices & designs

MPLS - The Big Picture



MPLS Innovation & Standards



MPLS Innovation-in-Progress



IETF at work Working Groups related to MPLS

Cisco.com

= Multi-Protocol Label Switching	39 RFCs / 19 Drafts	
= Layer 3 Virtual Private Networks	6 RFCs / 19 Drafts	
= Pseudo Wire Emulation Edge to Edge 3 RFCs /	24 Drafts	
= Layer 2 Virtual Private Networks = Layer 1 Virtual Private Networks	11 Drafts (VPN over GMPLS)	
= Common Control and Measurement Plane (GMPLS)		
= IS-IS for IP Internets		
= Open Shortest Path First IGP		
= Protocol Independent Multicast (as consultant fo	r MPLS)	
= Bi-directional Forwarding Detection		
	 Multi-Protocol Label Switching Layer 3 Virtual Private Networks Pseudo Wire Emulation Edge to Edge 3 RFCs / Layer 2 Virtual Private Networks Layer 1 Virtual Private Networks Common Control and Measurement Plane (GM IS-IS for IP Internets Open Shortest Path First IGP Protocol Independent Multicast (as consultant for Bi-directional Forwarding Detection 	

+ Personal contributions (draft & Informational RFC)

TE Internet Traffic Engineering (Concluded, transferred to MPLS WG)

Agenda

Cisco.com

• L3 VPNs

Create VPN

Internet access

Security considerations

BGP advanced features

- Manage L3-VPN services
 - Troubleshooting / Diagnostics

Configuration

• MPLS L2 Transport

Virtual Private Wired Services

Virtual Private LAN Services

 MPLS OAM and Traffic Management

OAM

Fast-convergence

Traffic Engineering

Agenda

Cisco.com

Create L3-VPN service

Create VPN

Internet access

Security considerations

BGP advanced features

Vagish Dwivedi

VPN Taxonomy



MPLS-VPN BGP peering design

Cisco.com



Do not build a fully meshed iBGP network:

Use Route Reflector (RR) Easy add of new site / Central point for routing security Stability

Two RR (or three) are enough in general in Enterprise Each RR can store easily up-to 200.000 Routes Larger design is easily feasible

IPM-T02

VRF Route Distribution control

Interconnect Private Virtual Routers across the network



The RD is prepended to IP address to make it globally unique.

The RD serves as a VPN identifier for simple VPN topologies but may not be true for complex topologies.

Route-Target (RT) are acting as Import/Export filters

No limitation on number of RT per VRF -> a VRF may belong to multiple VPN

Intranet Model - Simple



Intranet Model - Complex



Avoiding Routing Loop Cisco.com Must block loops: •BGP: •AS number or Site of **Origin route-map** •OSPF: •Down-bit or External Tags MPLS-VPN Backbone PE . . . **PE-1** Area 1 Network = Net-1 Area 2

IPM-T02

Loop Avoidance



AS Override

Cisco.com



The customer wants to reuse the same AS number on several Sites

AS Override

Cisco.com



IPM-T02

Site of Origin

Cisco.com



 AS path-based BGP loop prevention is bypassed with ASoverride.

Implementing SOO with route-map helps in Loop Prevention

Insertion of MPLS VPN into an existing network

Cisco.com

As MPLS VPN relies on BGP

A smooth insertion into an existing IGP network is not by default

• Two approaches:

External Autonomous System insertion (from Edge) Insert MPLS VPN at boundary points- requires GRE Splitting of existing network – Core does not run MPLS Core Still carries normal traffic Transparent insertion (from Core) Core runs MPLS and exchanges labels – no configuration change of existing CE router Smooth integration into area or AS

MPLS VPN insertion in an OSPF network: PE acts as ABR or ASBR

Cisco.com

Network splitting



IPM-T02

MPLS VPN inserted into any Area PE is intra-area router

Cisco.com

Use Sham-link to keep LSA type 1



More transparency, easy migration, but add more complexity

OSPF link in parallel of the MPLS network are supported The PE acts like an intra-area router, and the MPLS network is seen as an intra-area link

OSPF Sham Link PE config. - Sham-link Connection

		Cisco.com
<pre>ip vrf OSPFCustomer rd 10:1 route-target both 10:1</pre>		
interface Serial0/1		
<pre>ip vrf forwarding OSPFCustomer ip address 100.10.146.4 255.255.255.0</pre>	Shar	Warning:
interface Loopback44	lear	rned thru Core MP-BGP
desc Sham-link interface ip vrf forwarding OSPFCustomer		
ip address 100.10.44.4 255.255.255.255		
router ospf 12 vrf OSPFCustomer		
area 1 sham-link 100.10.44.4 100.10.55.5 redistribute bgp 1 subnets	cost 2	
network 100.10.146.0 0.0.0.255 area 1		
router bgp 1		
address-family ipv4 vrf OSPFCustomer		
redistribute ospf 12		

Sub-VPN on a Site

- Separate Intranet / Internet
 - Need one VRF and Global access
- Enterprise is composed of independent groups
 - à la Holding, add & remove easily entity Need a few VRFs, and Centralized Services VRF Common QoS
- Zoning for Security management
 - Raising need: Some VRF, but may increase in future VRF are used to group users with same rights Firewalling is acting between VPN (users & Servers) Common QoS
- Enterprise acts like an Internal-SP
 - **Requires security and per VPN QoS**
- Customer is an SP

Multi-VRF CE - Extending MPLS-VPN Ability to create VRF without MPLS switching

Cisco.com

SubInterface Link

Any Interface type that supports Sub Interfaces, FE-Vlan, Frame Relay, ATM VC's, GRE



Allows to push 'PE-like' function to CE

- Independance of core versus edge (no peering between CE & all PEs)
- Using simple CE : no MP-BGP / no LDP

Most of CE functions are supported into a VRF

Local Inter Multi-VRF routing

Cisco.com



Local Red is routed with local Green, but VPN are still separated Requires MP-BGP to be enabled on CE (you can control export/import using Route-map)

© 2005 Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.

Agenda

Cisco.com

Create L3-VPN service

Create VPN

Internet access

Security considerations

BGP advanced features

Vagish Dwivedi

Internet Access



Cisco.com

• Facts:

Basic MPLS switching allows not to distribute Internet routes into the core

No label is given to external BGP routes

One label is given to Next-Hop

Some customer requires optimum access to Internet @

The Internet table is too big to be populated in multiple VRF Ex: 100 VRF * 130,000routes = 13,000,000 !!! And even 130,000 VPNv4 @ is consuming ...

VPN access to Internet

Cisco.com

1. Default route into a VRF

Most common Internet access, only single point need to be secured All traffic from all site goes across the central site.

2. Full routing to a dedicated Internet-PE

Insecurity is physically restrained, additional security needed on CE Shared Internet/VPN core (no need of full-routing into core)

3. Full routing into the PE global routing table

Shared Internet/VPN core (no need of full-routing into core)

4. Full routing into dedicated Internet-VRF

Shared Internet/VPN core (no need of full-routing into core) Access thru VRF-lite concept

5. Full routing only into the CE MPLS to the edge (CsC)



MPLS/VPN Internet Connectivity Dual parallel access using VRF.lite



Achieved by using a second interface to the client site

either physical, or logical such as sub-interface or tunnel



MPLS/VPN Internet Connectivity (Packet Leaking) Static Default Route to Global Internet gateway



Drawbacks: Internet and VPN packets are mixed on the same link; security issues arise. Packets toward temporarily unreachable VPN destinations might leak into the Internet.

Benefits: A PE does not need Internet routes, only an IGP route toward the Internet gateway.

IPM-T02

Cisco.com

Feature allowing for dynamic import from global table to vrf Use uRPF check, Internet routes leaking Should be used with max-prefix per vrf

CLI:

import [ipv4 unicast|multicast [<prefix limit>]] map <name>

e.g. Router(config-vrf)# import ipv4 unicast 1000 map UNICAST

•Creates an import map to import IPv4 prefixes from the global routing table to a VRF table.

• imports up to 1000 unicast prefixes that pass through the route map named UNICAST.

Remote-access L2TP to MPLS VPN

Global AAA Or per VRF AAA)



(*): at least 2 labels inside (1 label where we do pen-ultimate hop popping)

Hub & Spoke Connectivity With Half-Duplex-VRF



If two subscribers of the same service terminate on the same PE-router, traffic between them must not be switched locally !

Upstream VRF only requires a route-target import statement

Imports the default route from the hub PE router (@WholeSale Provider)

Downstream VRF only requires a route-target export command

Used to export all of the /32 (virtual-access ints) addresses toward the hub PE-router

•This feature prevents situations where the PE router locally switches the spokes without passing the traffic through the upstream ISP, which causes the wholesale service provider to lose revenue.

IPM-T02

Remote-access Source based - VPN Selection



Traditionally physical interface was associated with one VRF table, in situations where multiple customers are connected over single link this provide vrf selection source 196.7.25.0 255.255.128 vrf Trading vrf selection source 196.7.25.128 255.255.128 vrf Retail
Remote-access IPSec + MPLS PE



VRF-Aware IPsec

Packet Flow

No Limitations! Works for Both Site-to-Site and Client-to-Concentrator Type of IPsec Tunnels.



By implementing VRF-aware IKE/IPsec solution only one public IP address is needed to terminate IPsec tunnels from different VPN customers without penalty of additional encapsulation overhead. Based on the IKE authentication, the IPsec tunnel is directly associated with the VRF. AAA passes the VRF ID to the router for the tunnel.

IPM-T02

Agenda

Cisco.com

Create L3-VPN service

Create VPN

Internet access

Security considerations

BGP advanced features

Yogesh Jiandani

General VPN Security Requirements

Cisco.com

Address Space and Routing Separation Hiding of the MPLS Core Structure Resistance to Attacks Impossibility of VPN Spoofing

A hierarchy of Labels





Attack MPLS VPN



- Where can you attack? Address and Routing Separation, thus: Only Attack point: peering PE
- How?
 - Intrusions (telnet, SNMP, ..., routing protocol)
 - DoS

Security Recommendations Conformity to "draft-behringer-mpls-security-10"

Cisco.com

• Protect routing protocols from CE to PE:

- Use static when possible
- Using ACL (source is only CE)
- **MD5** authentication
- BGP [RFC2385], OSPF [RFC2154], RIP2 [RFC2082], EIGRP
- **BGP** dampening, filtering, maximum-prefix

Protect PE ressources

- **VRF** number of routes limitation
- CAR (Commited Access Rate) to control traffic (specially UDP)
- Validate CE-CE exchanges thru PEs

CE may write/check BGP-Community with customer identification



Limiting the Number of Prefixes Received from a BGP Neighbor

Cisco.com

router(config-router-af)#

neighbor *ip-address* maximum-prefix *maximum* [*threshold*] [warning-only]

- Controls how many prefixes can be received from a neighbor
- Optional threshold parameter specifies the percentage where a warning message is logged (default is 75%)
- Optional warning-only keyword specifies the action on exceeding the maximum number (default is to drop neighborship)

Configuring VRF Route Limit

Cisco.com

router(config-vrf)#

maximum route number { warning-percent | warn-only}

- This command configures the maximum number of routes accepted into a VRF:
 - *Number* is the route limit for the VRF.
 - Warning-percent is the percentage value over which a warning message is sent to syslog.
 - With warn-only the PE continues accepting routes after the configured limit.
- Syslog messages generated by this command are rate-limited.

IPM-T02

MD5 Authentication – OSPF and BGP

Cisco.com

MD5 authentication between two peers

password must be known to both peers

OSPF authentication

area <area-id> authentication message-digest
(whole area)

ip ospf message-digest-key 1 md5 <key>

BGP neighbor authentication

neighbor 169.222.10.1 password v61ne0qkel33&

BGP Dampening

Cisco.com

Fixed damping

router bgp 100

bgp dampening [<half-life> <reuse-value> <suppresspenalty> <maximum suppress time>]

Selective and variable damping

bgp dampening [route-map <name>]
route-map <name> permit 10
match ip address prefix-list FLAP-LIST
set dampening [<half-life> <reuse-value> <suppresspenalty> <maximum suppress time>]

ip prefix-list FLAP-LIST permit 192.0.2.0/24 le 32

IP TTL Propagation – Stop discovery of the network

Cisco.com

router(config)#

no mpls ip propagate-ttl

- By default, IP TTL is copied into label header at label imposition and label TTL is copied into IP TTL at label removal.
- This command disables IP TTL and label TTL propagation.
 - TTL value of 255 is inserted in the label header.
- The TTL propagation has to be disabled on ingress and egress edge LSR.

IP TTL Propagation—Extended Options

Cisco.com

router(config)#

no mpls ip propagate-ttl [forwarded | local]

Selectively disables IP TTL propagation for:

- Forwarded traffic (traceroute does not work for transit traffic labeled by this router)
- Local traffic (traceroute does not work from the router but works for transit traffic labeled by this router)

Disabling IP TTL Propagation for Customer Traffic



Internet core transport isolation A switching of Labels



P routers do not participate in IP routing



Attack MPLS VPN from Internet





- The "bible" for Core Security
- Available as book, and on FTP:

ftp://ftp-eng.cisco.com/cons/isp/security

• How to secure the core

Security for devices, routing, traffic, management, ...

Cisco.com

- Meircom performed testing that proved that MPLS-VPNs have met or exceeded all of the security characteristics of a comparable layer two based VPN such as Frame-Relay or ATM
- Address space and routing separation

Unique addressing utilizing VPN-IPv4 addresses Routing separation by the use of VRFs

- Service Providers core structure is not revealing Only information shared is already part of the VRF
- The network is resistant to attacks

Mechanisms in place to limit the impact of DoS attacks

If more security than L2 is required Use IPsec if you don't trust enough the core

- Address space separation
- Traffic separation
- Routing separation
- Authentication
- Confidentiality
- Data integrity



Agenda

Cisco.com

Create L3-VPN service

Create VPN

Internet access

Security considerations

BGP advanced features

Vagish Dwivedi

AS-Override

Cisco.com



Allows all sites of the same customer to be into the same splitted AS

Allowas-in

Cisco.com



- VPN A connected to VPN-B via CE-BGP-A1
- CE router has two connections to AS 115
- PE-1 announces network 10.1.0.0/16 to CE-BGP-A1
- PE-2 drops the update because it's AS number is already in the AS-Path
- AS-Override is needed on CE-BGP-A1
- This modifies BGP loop prevention mechanism

IPM-T02 © 2005 Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.

Load Balancing – iBGP Multipath for MPLS/VPN



• iBGP Multipath

PE A (and PE B) see two next-hop PEs towards 10.2/16 Installs all two next-hops in the BGP table Must use different RDs on PE1, PE2, as RR will select vpnv4 best path CEF resolves next-hops and load balances over them (via src/dest hash) Limit of 6 paths for BGP multipath !!!

• Note – IGP cost should be equal by default.

Can use MPLS TE forwarding adjacency to impose equal IGP cost Can do unequal IGP load balancing (max-paths ibgp unequal-cost)

PE to CE Load Balancing eiBGP Multipath for MPLS/VPN



- Consider implications of load balancing traffic from CE* towards 10.2/16
- PE1 will have learnt 10.2/16 from CE1 and from PE2 CE1 via eBGP; PE2 via MP-iBGP
- eBGP path will always be preferred (lower admin distance)
- eiBGP multipath (on PE1) allows load balancing thru PE1 and PE2 toward 10.2/16

Per VPN TE



Per VPN TE Or even inside VPN TE

Cisco.com

One one side:

address-family vpnv4 neighbor 1.1.12.1 activate neighbor 1.1.12.1 send-community extended neighbor 1.1.12.1 route-map set-pref-nh out

ip extcommunity-list 70 permit rt 10:70 route-map set-pref-nh permit 10 match extcommunity 70 set ip next-hop 10.52.52.52 And in addition: ip vrf green rd 10:2 export map Set_RT70 route-target both 10:2 ! access-list 1 permit 100.10.2.12 ! route-map Set_RT70 permit 10 match ip address 1 set extcommunity rt 10:70 additive

« Or even per Subnet into the VRF »

On the other side:

ip route 10.52.52.52 255.255.255.255 Tunnel70

And why not to use Source-@ VPN selection !

Agenda

Cisco.com

• Manage L3-VPN service a.k.a L3 OAM

Yogesh Jiandani

NMS Best Practices for MPLS VPN Operational Efficiency and Effectiveness

- Automate, Automate, Automate ... however.....
- The MPLS VPN Assurance/Troubleshooting Process
 - **The Problems**
 - **Troubleshooting Workflows**

Troubleshooting Workflow - VRF data plane

Detection VRF ping Fail (IP) **Possible Access/Customer** Remote PE **VRF** traceroute **Network Problem** in trace Inform operator **Remote PE** VRF, IP, Not in trace **MPLS**? Problem **Inspect Routing Configuration PE-PE ping Check (IP)** Troubleshooting OK **Problem** LSP ping\trace (IGP label) **Inspect MPLS Configuration** OK **Problem Inspect VPN Configuration** LSP ping\trace (VRF) IPM-65 hts reserved

show ip vrf

Router#show ip vrf		
Name	Default RD	Interfaces
SiteA2	103:30	Serial1/0.20
SiteB	103:11	Serial1/0.100
SiteX	103:20	Ethernet0/0
Router#		

show ip vrf detail

```
Router#show ip vrf detail
VRF SiteA2; default RD 103:30
  Interfaces:
    Serial1/0.20
  Connected addresses are not in global routing table
 No Export VPN route-target communities
  Import VPN route-target communities
    RT:103:10
 No import route-map
  Export route-map: A2
VRF SiteB; default RD 103:11
  Interfaces:
    Serial1/0.100
  Connected addresses are not in global routing table
  Export VPN route-target communities
    RT:103:11
  Import VPN route-target communities
    RT:103:11
                            RT:103:20
 No import route-map
  No export route-map
```

show ip vrf interfaces

Router#show ip vrf interfaces					
Interface	IP-Address	VRF	Protocol		
Serial1/0.20	150.1.31.37	SiteA2	up		
Serial1/0.100	150.1.32.33	SiteB	up		
Ethernet0/0	192.168.22.3	SiteX	up		

show ip route vrf

Cisco.com

```
Router#show ip route vrf SiteA2
Codes: C - connected, S - static, I - IGRP, R - RIP, M - mobile, B - BGP
       D - EIGRP, EX - EIGRP external, O - OSPF, IA - OSPF inter area
       N1 - OSPF NSSA external type 1, N2 - OSPF NSSA external type 2
       E1 - OSPF external type 1, E2 - OSPF external type 2, E - EGP
       i - IS-IS, L1 - IS-IS level-1, L2 - IS-IS level-2,
       * - candidate default, U - per-user static route, o - ODR
       P - periodic downloaded static route
Gateway of last resort is not set
     203.1.20.0/24 [110/782] via 150.1.31.38, 02:52:13, Serial1/0.20
0
     203.1.2.0/32 is subnetted, 1 subnets
        203.1.2.1 [110/782] via 150.1.31.38, 02:52:13, Serial1/0.20
0
     203.1.1.0/32 is subnetted, 1 subnets
        203.1.1.1 [200/1] via 192.168.3.103, 01:14:32
B
     203.1.135.0/24 [200/782] via 192.168.3.101, 02:05:38
B
в
     203.1.134.0/24 [200/1] via 192.168.3.101, 02:05:38
     203.1.10.0/24 [200/1] via 192.168.3.103, 01:14:32
в
... rest deleted ...
```

IPM-T02

show ip bgp vpnv4 vrf neighbor

Cisco.com

Router#show ip bqp vpnv4 vrf SiteB neighbors BGP neighbor is 150.1.32.34, vrf SiteB, remote AS 65032, external link BGP version 4, remote router ID 203.2.10.1 BGP state = Established, up for 02:01:41 Last read 00:00:56, hold time is 180, keepalive interval is 60 seconds Neighbor capabilities: Route refresh: advertised and received Address family IPv4 Unicast: advertised and received Received 549 messages, 0 notifications, 0 in queue Sent 646 messages, 0 notifications, 0 in queue Route refresh request: received 0, sent 0 Minimum time between advertisement runs is 30 seconds For address family: VPNv4 Unicast Translates address family IPv4 Unicast for VRF SiteB BGP table version 416, neighbor version 416 Index 4, Offset 0, Mask 0x10 Community attribute sent to this neighbor 2 accepted prefixes consume 120 bytes Prefix advertised 107, suppressed 0, withdrawn 63

... rest deleted ...

Example Workflow Troubleshooting the MPLS core



show mpls interface

Router#show mpls	interfaces	[interface]	[detail]
Interface	IP	Tunnel	Operational
Ethernet1/1/1	Yes (tdp)	No	No
Ethernet1/1/2	Yes (tdp)	Yes	No
Ethernet1/1/3	Yes (tdp)	Yes	Yes
POS2/0/0	Yes (tdp)	No	No
ATM0/0.1	Yes (tdp)	No	No (ATM
labels)			
ATM3/0.1	Yes (ldp)	No	Yes (ATM
labels)			
ATM0/0.2	Yes (tdp)	No	Yes
show cef interface

Cisco.com

Router#show cef interface serial 1/0.20 Serial1/0.20 is up (if number 18) Internet address is 150.1.31.37/30 ICMP redirects are always sent Per packet loadbalancing is disabled IP unicast RPF check is disabled Inbound access list is not set Outbound access list is not set IP policy routing is disabled Interface is marked as point to point interface Hardware idb is Serial1/0 Fast switching type 5, interface type 64 IP CEF switching enabled IP CEF VPN Fast switching turbo vector VPN Forwarding table "SiteA2" Input fast flags 0x1000, Output fast flags 0x0 ifindex 3(3) Slot 1 Slot unit 0 VC -1 Transmit limit accumulator 0x0 (0x0) **IP MTU 1500**

IPM-T02

show mpls forwarding-table

Router#show mpls forwarding-table detail								
Local	Outgoing	Prefix	Byt	es label	Outgoing	Next Hop		
label	label or	VC or Tunne	l Id	switched	interfa	ace		
26	Unlabeled	192.168.3.3	/32 0	:	Se1/0.3	point2point		
	MAC/Encaps=0	0/0, MTU=1504,	label Sta	ick{}				
27	Pop label	192.168.3.4	4/32 0		Se0/0.4	point2point		
	MAC/Encaps=4	4/4, MTU=1504,	label Sta	ick{}				
	20618847							
28	29	192.168.3.4/3	32 0	Se	e1/0.3	point2point		
	MAC/Encaps=4	4/8, MTU=1500,	label Sta	lck{29}				
	18718847 000	01000						

show mpls ldp bindings

Cisco.com

Router#show mpls ldp bindings lib entry: 192.168.3.1/32, rev 9 local binding: label: 28 remote binding: lsr: 19.16.3.3:0, label: 28 lib entry: 192.168.3.2/32, rev 8 local binding: label: 27 remote binding: lsr: 19.16.3.3:0, label: 27 lib entry: 192.168.3.3/32, rev 7 local binding: label: 26 remote binding: lsr: 19.16.3.3:0, label: impnull(1) lib entry: 192.168.3.10/32, rev 6 local binding: label: imp-null(1) remote binding: lsr: 19.16.3.3:0, label: 26

IPM-T02

Agenda

Cisco.com

• L2 transport over MPLS

АТоМ

EoMPLS

VPLS

H-VPLS

Yogesh Jiandani

IETF : L2transport (Pseudo-Wire Emulation Edge to Edge)



PWE3 MPLS based drafts

Cisco.com

draft-ietf-pwe3-control-protocol-xx.txt

draft-ietf-pwe3-atm-encap-xx.txt draft-ietf-pwe3-frame-relay-xx.txt draft-ietf-pwe3-ethernet-encap-xx.txt draft-ietf-pwe3-hdlc-ppp-xx.txt

draft-ietf-pwe3-cesopsn-xx.txt draft-ietf-pwe3-satop-xx.txt draft-ietf-pwe3-sonet-xx.txt

draft-ietf-pwe3-vccv-xx.txt draft-ietf-pwe3-mib-xx.txt

Control plane

Data plane (L2 emulation)

- ATM AAL5 PDU
- ATM cells (non AAL5 mode)
- FR PDU
- Ethernet
- 802.1Q (Ethernet VLAN)
- Cisco-HDLC (LAPD)
- PPP

Circuit Emulation (L1 emulation)

- Structured E1/T1
- Unstructured E1/T1/E3/DS3
- Unstructured STMx/OCx

Management Plane

- OAM's
- MIB's

Layer 2 VPN Taxonomy



What is an L2VPN? IETF's L2VPN Logical Context



Some Layer 1 frame encapsulations are transportable under the framework of L2VPN. This is acceptable because (unlike native L1) Frames can be dropped due to congestion.

Pseudo Wire – IETF Working Groups

Interne	Transport Area	
L2TPEXT	L2VPN	PWE3
L2TP(v2 & v3)	VPLS, VPWS, IPLS	АТоМ
 Extensions to RFC2661 Control Plane Operation AVPs Updated data plane Relevant MIBs 	 Solution Architectures PE Discovery Signaling (with PWE3) L2VPN OAM extensions Belovant MIBs 	 PWE3 Architecture PWE3 Requirements LDP Control Channel L2 Service Encap Specifics TDM, CES, etc. Relevant MIBs

L2VPNs: Pre-Network Consolidation



L2VPNs: Post-Network Consolidation



Agenda

Cisco.com

• L2 transport over MPLS

ΑΤοΜ

EoMPLS

VPLS

H-VPLS

Vagish Dwivedi

AToM semantic



Ingress and egress interfaces (attachment VCs) are non-MPLS interfaces Ingress PE encapsulates into MPLS, egress PE de-encapsulates Label stack of two labels is used

Top-most label ("tunnel-label") used for LSP PE to PE.

Second label ("VC-label") identifies outgoing interface in egress PE

LDP has been extended to carry VC-FEC

^{IPM-T}A directed **DP** session is used from PE to PE to exchange VC labels ⁸⁵

AToM Idea

Cisco.com

 The layer 2 transport service over MPLS is implemented through the use of two level label switching between the edge routers.

very similar to RFC2547 (MPLS-VPN)

- The label used to route the packet over the MPLS backbone to the destination PE is called the "tunnel label".
- The label used to determine the egress interface is referred to as the VC label.
- The egress PE creates a VC label and binds the Layer 2 egress interface to this VC, then sends this label to the ingress PE using the directed LDP session.

AToM : Label forwarding



Method to distribute VC Labels

- Static assigned label
- LDP with PWid FEC TLV
- LDP with Generalized FEC TLV

AToM: PWid FEC signaling

Cisco.com



Based on xconnect command, both PE's will create directed LDP session if doesn't exist already

• LSP can be LDP only or TE

AToM: VC Label distributed through directed LDP session



PWid FEC TLV

LDP: PWid FEC TLV

Cisco.com

VC TLV	С	VC Туре	VC info length					
Group ID								
VC ID								
Interface Parameter								

<u>VC TLV = 128 or 0x80</u>

<u>VC Type</u>: FR, ATM, E802.1Q, Eth...

<u>C</u>: 1 control word present

Group ID: If for a group of VC, useful to withdraws many labels at once

VC ID : ID for the transported L2 vc

Int. Param: MTU...

AToM : Control word

Cisco.com



ATM TELC Transport type, EFCI, CLP, C/RC/R

FR BFDC BECN, FECN, DE, C/R

When transporting L2 protocols over an MPLS backbone:

The sequence of the packets should be preserved;

sequence number 0 indicates that no sequencing is done.

Small packets may need padding if MTU of medium is larger than packet size

Control bits carried in header of Layer-2 frame may need to be transported in Flag fields:

F/R: FECN, BECN, DE, C/R

IPM-TO2 ATM: AALS OF Cellig EFGI, CLP, C/R

AToM: Lost of connectivity and Label Withdraw



Agenda

Cisco.com

• L2 transport over MPLS

ΑΤοΜ

EoMPLS

VPLS

H-VPLS

Yogesh Jiandani

Transport of Ethernet over MPLS

Cisco.com

2 main requirements for transport of Ethernet frames

- 1. 802.1q VLAN to 802.1q VLAN transport;
- 2. Ethernet port to port transport

EoMPLS Transport Formats

Cisco.com



 $<7 \text{ octets} < 1 \text{ octet} > <6 \text{ octets} > <2 \text{ octets} > <2 \text{ octets} > <2 \text{ octets} > <3 \text{ octets} > <2 \text{ octets} > <46-1492 > <4 \text{ octets} > <4 \text{ octe$

802.3/802.2/SNAP Encapsulation

Ethernet 802.1q VLAN Transport



Agenda

Cisco.com

• L2 transport over MPLS

ΑΤοΜ

EoMPLS

VPLS

Vagish Dwivedi

Spanning Tree Protocol - Reminder Primer and general issue.(1)

Cisco.com

Standard Spanning Tree Protocol (IEEE802.1d)

- Sent BPDU (info about root of the tree) every 2s to peer bridges onto native VLAN.
- Wait 15s to change from (Blocking, Listening, Learning, Forwarding states).
- On missing hello's, wait 20s before considering peer bridge down.

Standard STP (IEEE802.1d) with default timers allow to have NO more than 7 switches/bridges from the root.

In Some implementation those timer a tunable.

Spanning Tree Protocol - Reminder Primer and general issue.(2)

Cisco.com

Rapid STP (IEEE802.1w) sent BPDU every 2s or triggered when receiving new BPDU from peer switches.

- This allow to increase convergence and number of switches allowed from root.
- Still need to be in 15s time-frame end-end to prevent loops.

Recommendation to keep STP domain within a MAN area and not to create inter MAN domain.

VPLS (Transparent LAN Services)

Cisco.com



- The network will simulate a L2 switch

VPLS – An Example

Cisco.com



In VPLS - transport is provided by pseudo-wires (emulated VCs). In ATOM - transport is provided over Label Switched Paths (LSPs). An MPLS-enabled core, Attachment VCs (native Ethernet or 802.1Q VLAN), and full mesh directed LDP sessions between PE routers are prerequisite for VPLS services

Cisco.com

Requirement for this solution

MAC table instances per customer and per Customer VLAN (L2-VRF idea) for each PE.

Called Virtual Forwarding Instance (VFI)

VFI will participate to learning, forwarding process.

Create full-mesh (or partial mesh) of emulated VCs per VPLS.

Usage of "network split-horizon" to prevent loops in VPLS domain.

Use of VLAN and Ethernet VC-Type defined PWE3-WG

(Optional) New MAC TLV (LDP) to accelerate MAC withdraw equivalent function to IEEE Rapid Spanning Tree (IEEE 802.1w)

VPLS L2signalling and forwarding



VPLS L2signalling and forwarding



VPLS L2signalling and forwarding



Sample of CLI...



1. Create a VFI and attach neighbour VFI

```
C7600-1(config)# 12 vfi green-vfi manual
C7600-1(config-vfi)# vpn id 100:1
C7600-1(config-vfi)# neighbor 2.2.2.2 encapsulation mpls
C7600-1(config-vfi)# neighbor 3.3.3.3 encapsulation mpls
C7600-2(config)# 12 vfi green-vfi manual
C7600-2(config-vfi)# vpn id 100:1
C7600-2(config-vfi)# neighbor 1.1.1.1 encapsulation mpls
C7600-2(config-vfi)# neighbor 3.3.3.3 encapsulation mpls
C7600-3(config)# 12 vfi green-vfi manual
C7600-3(config-vfi)# vpn id 100:1
C7600-3(config-vfi)# neighbor 2.2.2.2 encapsulation mpls
C7600-3(config-vfi)# neighbor 1.1.1.1 encapsulation mpls
```
2. Configure direct attached CPE and UPE



2. Configure direct attached CPE and UPE

```
C7600-3(config)# interface GigEthernet3/3
C7600-3(config-inf)# switchport
C7600-3(config-inf)# switchport mode trunk
C7600-3(config-inf)# switchport trunk encap dot1q
C7600-3(config-inf)# switchport trunk allow vlan 100,105,1002-1005
```

```
C7600-3(config)# interface vlan 100
C7600-3(config-inf)# xconnect vfi green-vfi
```

```
C7600-3(config)# interface vlan 105
C7600-3(config-inf)# xconnect vfi red-vfi
```

MPLS L2-VPNs Today's market acceptance

- Is widely deployed
 Ethernet, Frame Relay
- Is fairly deployed ATM (Cell and AAL5) VPLS
- Is sparsely deployed
 PPP
 - Interworking

Layer 2 Service Interworking

Cisco.com



How to connect different encapsulations and retain a Layer 2 service...

Agenda

Cisco.com

• Build an MPLS core

OAM

- **Fast-convergence**
- **Traffic Engineering**

Yogesh Jiandani

AIS/RDI Proactive error signalling

Cisco.com



- AIS: Alarm Indication Signal
- RDI: Remote Defect Indicator

Media: SDH, SONET, ATM,...

Continuity Check (Data Plane, Control Plane) Connectivity Aliveness

Cisco.com



Media: FR, ATM, IP IGP/EGP, RSVP,...

Loopback & Traceroute Path Troubleshooting

Cisco.com



Media: ATM, MPLS, IP ICMP, ...

The OAM Landscape

Cisco.com



- Customer contracts with Provider for end-to-end service.
- Provider contracts with Operator(s) to provide equipment and networks.
- Provider and Operator(s) may or may not be the same company or same division.

IPM-T02

Ethernet OAM's Example: Metro Ethernet Architecture



IP OAM's

Cisco.com

Data Plane layer

IP Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)

IP Ping

. . .

IP Traceroute

IP SLA (RTR, SAA)

Control Plane layer

IGP Hello's : OSPF Hello's, ISIS Hello's, RIP Hello's EGP Hello's : BGP TCP keepalive,...

IP VPNv4 OAM's

Cisco.com

- Data Plane layer
 - **VRF** aware IP Ping
 - **VRF** aware **IP** Traceroute
 - VRF aware IP SLA (RTR, SAA)
- Control Plane layer

. . .

EGP Hello's : MP-BGP TCP keepalive,...

Bi-directional Forwarding Detection (BFD)

Cisco.com

Goal

A sub hundred milliseconds forwarding plane failure detection using single, common, standardized mechanism, which is independent of media and routing protocols.

- BFD control packets will be encapsulated in UDP datagram.
- Destination port 3784 and source port between 49252 to 65535.
- Because of the fast nature of the protocol, all output features are bypassed for locally generated BFD control packets.
- Active & Passive modes.

Timer negotiation

Cisco.com

- Neighbors continuously negotiate their desired transmit and receive rates in terms of microseconds.
- The system reporting the slower rate determines the transmission rate.



Green Transmits at 100ms

Purple transmits at 50ms

BFD – Asynchronous Bi-directional mode

Cisco.com

BFD will detect in a few hundred of milliseconds Layer 3 neighboring failure, faster than any IGP hellos



BFD Application

- Forwarding plane liveliness
- Tunnel liveliness detection
- IP/MPLS FRR
- BFD over Ethernet
- MPLS LSP data plane failure

MPLS OAM's

Cisco.com

Data Plane layer

MPLS Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)

MPLS Ping (IPv4 + LDP / Traffic Engineering Tunnel)

MPLS Traceroute (IPv4 +LDP / Traffic Engineering Tunnel)

Virtual Circuit Connection Verification (AToM Ping)

Control Plane layer

LDP Hello's : TCP keepalive

BGP Hello's : TCP keepalive,...

RSVP Hello's & fast RSVP Hello's

Cisco.com

- VCCV goal is to verify aliveness, integrity of defined pseudowire
- VCCV capability is negotiated when the AToM tunnel is brought up
- A new pseudowire interface parameter is defined
- 2 data plane methods defined

Inband : One bit from pseudowire Control-Word is defined VCCV bit, egress PE are going to intercept all packets with VCCV bit set 1

outband : An additional VCCV label is defined, egress PE are going to intercept all packets with this label.

127

Connectivity Trace Using VCCV

PE1# ping mpls pseudowire 172.16.255.4 102 \mathbf{X} Attachment VC **VCCV** Packet PE¹ Is lost interface ethernet 1/1.1 encapsulation dot1q 100 xconnect 172.16.255.4 102 pw-class pw-mpls PE2 **Attachment VC**

What is OAM Inter-working?

Cisco.com

This is NOT OAM inter-working!



- Transport OAM message with embedded MAC address carried from bridge to bridge, visible to ETH layer (when present), and translated to new transport's OAM format when crossing physical media boundaries.
- Creates dependency on Physical layer and inter-operability issues.

What is OAM Inter-working?

- Strict OAM layering should be honored: messages should not cross layers
- OAM Messages should not leak outside domain boundaries within a layer
- Inter-working is event translations & not necessarily 1:1 message mapping
- Inter-working may be inter-layer and intra-layer



Summary on OAM's

	Signalling	Aliveness		Troubleshooting		
Media type	AIS/RDI	CC CP	CC DP	Loopback	Performance	Traceroute
ATM VP	F4	ILMI	F4 (VC-3)	F4 (VC-4)		
ATM VC	F5		F5 (PT 100)	F5 (P ⁻	F5 (PT 101)	
FR		LMI	Keepalive			
Ethernet last mile		E-LMI	IEEE 802.1ag			
Ethernet provider bridge		IEEE 802.1ag		IEEE 802.1ag		IEEE 802.1ag
MPLS LDP	LDP	LDP Hello	MPLS BFD	LSP Ping		I SP TR
MPLS TE	RSVP	RSVP Hello				
MPLS PW	LDP	LDP Hello	VCCV BFD	VCCV Ping		
IPv4		IGP/BGP Hello	BFD	IP Ping	IP SLA	IP TR
IPv4 VPN		IGP/BGP Hello	BFD	IP Ping (VRF)	IP SLA (VRF)	IP TR (VRF)

Agenda

Cisco.com

Build an MPLS core

OAM

Fast-convergence

Engineering of traffic

Vagish Dwivedi

MPLS Core convergence

Cisco.com



Technologies to consider for convergence

- <u>Core options</u>:

 - PE/P IGP restoration
- Edge:
 - PE-PE iMP-BGP
 - CE-PE edge routing

- Fast IGP + LDP
- → Fast BGP via RR → IGP or BGP / OAM

Core Fast convergence

Cisco.com

1. Detection of Link / Node failure

Link down (ms detection) Neighboring failure IGP Hello detection = 1 second detection BFD = Sub-second detection (or below)

2. Alternate path computation

IGP flooding / SPF (sub-second or even 200ms computation)

Alternate path pre-computation:

MPLS Fast-ReRoute

(sub-100ms or even sub-50ms convergence)

IPM-T02

Fast Detection of Link / Node failure

Cisco.com

```
interface FastEthernet1/1
```

ip address ...

```
carrier-delay msec 0
```

```
ip router isis
```

isis network point-to-point dampening

```
Link down
```

```
interface FastEthernet1/1
```

ip address ...

ip router isis

```
•••
```

```
isis circuit-type level-1
isis hello-multiplier 10 level-1
isis hello-interval minimal level-1
```

Node down IGP detection

Bi-directional Forwarding Detection

Cisco.com

BFD will detect in a few hundred of milliseconds Layer 3 neighboring failure, faster than any IGP hellos



IGP alternate path re-computation thru SPF



Agenda

Cisco.com

• Build an MPLS core

OAM

Fast-convergence

Traffic Engineering

Yogesh Jiandani

Which problems are we trying to solve ? Cisco.com **Core SLA** Loss/Latency/Jitter **High Availability** Optimize **Buy Bandwidth** bandwidth Fast IGP Convergence **MPLS TE** FRR **IP** Traffic Engineering Diffserv DiffServ **IGP** Metric **MPLS TE** aware TE **Based TE**

IPM-T02

© 2005 Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.

IP Traffic Engineering: The Benefit for traffic SLA

Cisco.com

 The more effective use of backbone bandwidth potentially allows:

Either ...

higher SLA targets (higher availability, lower loss, lower delay) to be offered with the existing backbone bandwidth

Meet very tight SLA requirement (refer to MPLS QoS part)

Or ...

the existing SLA targets to be achieved with less backbone bandwidth or with delayed time to bandwidth upgrades

MPLS Traffic Engineering



- MPLS Traffic Engineering gives us an "explicit" routing capability (a.k.a. "source routing") at Layer 3
- Lets you use paths other than IGP shortest path
- Allows unequal-cost load sharing
- MPLS TE label switched paths (termed "traffic engineering tunnels") are used to steer traffic through the network

MPLS TE Components – Refresher

- **1.** Resource / policy information distribution
- 2. Constraint based path computation
- **3. RSVP for tunnel signaling**
- 4. Link admission control
- **5. LSP establishment**
- 6. TE tunnel control and maintenance
- 7. Assign traffic to tunnels

Strategic Deployment: Full Mesh



- Requires n * (n-1) tunnels, where n = # of head-ends
- Reality check: largest TE network today has ~100 head-ends ~9,900 tunnels in total max 99 tunnels per head-end (may go up to 600) max ~1,500 tunnels per link (may go up to 5000)
- Provisioning burden may be eased with AutoTunnel Mesh

Strategic Deployment: Core Mesh



- Reduces number of tunnels required
- Can be susceptible to "traffic-sloshing"
MPLS TE Deployment Considerations

Cisco.com

Statically (explicit) or dynamically established tunnels

• Dynamic

must specify bandwidths for tunnels

Otherwise defaults to IGP shortest path

Dynamic tunnels introduce indeterminism

Can be addressed with explicit tunnels or prioritisation scheme – higher priority for larger tunnels

• Static (explicit)

More deterministic

If strategic approach then computer-aided tools can ease the task

IPM-T02

Tunnel Sizing

Cisco.com

• Determine core traffic demand matrix

Full mesh of TE tunnels and Interface MIB

- **NetFlow or BGP Policy Accounting**
- Also key is the relationship of tunnel bandwidth to QoS
- Offline sizing

IPM-T02

Statically set reservation to percentile (e.g. P95) of expected max load

Periodically readjust – not in real time, e.g. weekly, monthly

• Dynamic Sizing: autobandwidth

Router automatically adjusts reservation (up or down) potentially in near real time based on traffic observed in previous time slot:

Tunnel bandwidth is not persistent (lost on reload)



- MPLS Technology has evolved to enable provisioning of many services
- MPLS accommodates both connection-oriented and connectionless environments
- MPLS provides many technological advances to enable a reliable, controlled, stable infrastructure
- All of these advances have been leveraged with standards driven through appropriate bodies – Cisco a major contributor in these efforts

MPLS, The Foundation for the NGN A quick recap of the Benefits

Cisco.com

MPLS is a Services Creation & Convergence platform

Layer3 MPLS based IP-VPN Services (RFC 2547bis)

Layer2 VPN Services (VPWS & VPLS)

Legacy Frame-relay and ATM Services

New Ethernet based Wire and LAN Services

Wide range of Value Added Services (Voice, Video...)

- Quality of Service and Traffic Engineering
- Network Reliability via Link and Node Protection and Restoration
- IP & ATM Integration (Routers and Switches)
- IP & Optical Integration (G-MPLS)
- Large End-user acceptance as enabler of Business IP Services



Q and A

1

CISCO SYSTEMS